UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,))) Case No.
VS.) 14-CR-3106-MDH-22)
BRANDON A. HOUSE,)
Defendant.)

CHANGE OF PLEA
BEFORE THE HONORABLE M. DOUGLAS HARPOOL
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2016; 2:07 P.M.
SPRINGFIELD, MISSOURI

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. RANDALL D. EGGERT

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

901 St. Louis, Ste. 500 Springfield, MO 65806

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MR. DARRYL B. JOHNSON

DARRYL JOHNSON ATTORNEY AT LAW

1885 N. Highway CC, Ste. A

Nixa, MO 65714

COURT REPORTER: MS. JEANNINE RANKIN, RPR, CSR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

222 N. Hammons Parkway Springfield, MO 65806

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

1	USA v BRANDON A. HOUSE
2	CASE NO. 14-CR-3106-MDH-22
3	CHANGE OF PLEA
4	December 13, 2016
5	* * * * *
6	THE COURT: We are here to consider a potential plea
7	from Brandon House. Who appears on behalf of the United
8	States?
9	MR. EGGERT: Randy Eggert for the United States,
10	Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: And on behalf of the defendant?
12	MR. JOHNSON: Darryl Johnson on behalf of Brandon
13	House, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Mr. House, would you stand.
15	My understanding, counsel, is that your client is
16	interested in entering a plea but it's a stand-up plea, no
17	plea agreement; is that correct?
18	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir, that's correct.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	Mr. House, my name's Doug Harpool. I'm the federal
21	district judge that is presiding over your case. It's my job
22	to make sure that you get all the rights you're entitled to,
23	that we follow the rules of procedure and that the laws are
24	enforced as they should be.
25	You have previously entered a plea of not guilty.

That means under the eyes of our laws that it's presumed that you're innocent and you'll keep that presumption unless one of two things happens: Either you change your plea or until you go to trial and a jury were to find you guilty.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

I've been advised that you want to change your plea. That's not unusual. That happens frequently in court. But in order for us to allow you to change your plea, I have to make sure the circumstances around that decision are appropriate, that you've been properly advised of the circumstances of the plea and that your plea is something you're entering into voluntarily. Do you understand that's why we're here today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, in order for me to make a finding,
I have to have evidence to rely on. That means that I'm going
to place you under oath and ask you questions and your
testimony will be the evidence on which I rely. That means
because you're under oath, it's going to be important that you
be sure you tell the truth and that you listen carefully to my
questions. Ask me to rephrase any question you don't
understand. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Raise your right hand.

(Defendant duly sworn by Court.)

THE COURT: State your full name, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Brandon Allen House.

1	THE COURT: How old are you?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Thirty-three.
3	THE COURT: Can you read and write?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: Have you read the second superseding
6	indictment on which you've been named a defendant?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: I know there's lots of defendants named
9	but the particular counts that you are named on are Counts 1
10	and 25 of the second superseding indictment, so I'm
11	particularly interested that you've read those two counts.
12	Are you confident you have?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity to discuss
15	those allegations against you with your lawyer?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Have you also I don't want you to
18	tell me anything your lawyer told you, but have you had
19	discussions with your lawyer about the strengths and the
20	weaknesses of the government's case against you?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: Have you had discussions with your
23	lawyer about the rights you'd have if you went to a jury
24	trial?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And about the -- if you are guilty, some 1 of the benefits in sentencing if you were to admit your quilt 2 3 rather than to proceed to trial and be found quilty? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 5 I'm going to ask your lawyer. THE COURT: 6 Mr. Johnson, have you had sufficient discovery from 7 the government that you feel you're in a position you know the 8 strengths and weaknesses of the government's case against your 9 client? 10 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Have you had sufficient time to discuss 12 the strengths and weaknesses of that case with your client? 13 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir, I have. 14 THE COURT: Mr. House, have you had the time to ask 15 your lawyer all the questions you had about the decision to 16 change your plea? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: Do you feel you understand the 19 consequences of changing your plea? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you some additional 2.2. questions in a minute to just go over a few of the highlights 2.3 to make sure on the record that you understand that. 24 doubting your lawyer asked you all those things but we try to 2.5 make a record in court so that's the reason I'm going to go

1	over some of those things myself.
2	First, I need to do some things also for the record.
3	As you stand here today, are you under the influence of any
4	narcotic or drug?
5	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
6	THE COURT: Any alcohol?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
8	THE COURT: Do you suffer any mental illness?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
10	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you're not
11	competent to make the decision to change your plea?
12	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
13	THE COURT: If at any time I ask you a question you
14	don't understand, don't answer it. Okay? Let's stop and
15	what's your educational background?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Thirteen, little bit of college.
17	THE COURT: You can read and write?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: You didn't have any trouble reading the
20	second superseding indictment when you tried to read it; is
21	that correct?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No.
23	THE COURT: Let me there are lots of defendants
24	in this case, lots of co-conspirators or alleged
25	co-conspirators. It's important for me to understand and I

want to make sure, has anybody put any pressure on you or your 1 2 family or someone you care about to try to coerce you into 3 admitting quilt or changing your plea to quilty? 4 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 5 THE COURT: Has anybody made any promises that if 6 you would just go ahead and plead guilty, we'll do something 7 favorable for you or your family? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 9 Is the decision to change your plea to THE COURT: 10 quilty -- pleas to quilty a decision that you have come to 11 voluntarily given the circumstances you find yourself in? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: Do you think given where you are and the 14 conversations you've had that pleading guilty is what is in 15 your best legal interest? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Now, Count 1 is one of the counts that 18 is pending against you. Under Count 1 if you're quilty you 19 will face a sentence of not less than ten years in prison --20 MR. EGGERT: Your Honor, if I may? The defendant -we filed an 851 on this particular defendant, so I can recite 21 2.2. to the Court what I believe the statutory provisions would be. 2.3 THE COURT: It would be 20 years, correct? 24 MR. EGGERT: It would be 20 years, Your Honor, 25 statutory maximum would be life, not less than ten years of

supervised release, a \$20 million fine, and a \$100 mandatory 1 2 special assessment, and that offense would be a Class A 3 felony. 4 THE COURT: Because of the -- what's been filed by the government and your background, you understand you'd 5 6 actually be facing a sentence of not less than 20 years in 7 addition to the other things that Mr. Eggert just said? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: Then also Count 25. Count 25, what's 10 I'll have you read that. 11 MR. EGGERT: Yes, Your Honor, I'd be happy to. 12 Count 25, because of the 851 enhancement, there is 13 no statutory minimum penalty. The statutory maximum penalty 14 is not more than 30 years imprisonment, at least six years 15 supervised release, a \$2 million fine, and a \$100 mandatory 16 special assessment for conviction which must be paid at the 17 time of sentence. This offense is a Class B felony. 18 THE COURT: Are you aware that that's the punishment 19 you'd face under Count 25 if you plead quilty to that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Now, the sentences read by Mr. Eggert 2.2. are those that are authorized by the Congress. Now, your 2.3 actual sentence is one that I'll make the decision on but I 24 have to do something that's authorized by the Congress.

So do

you understand that in calculating your sentence we'll

2.5

calculate what we call the sentencing guidelines promulgated by the U.S. Sentencing Commission and those guidelines means that I'll give an offense level to you based on those guidelines and we'll calculate your criminal history and based on those and the authorized sentence, then we'll come to what's called the guideline sentence for you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.2.

2.3

THE COURT: Have you had some general discussions with your lawyer about that process?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Today there's just a few things I want to make sure you understand. One is that a guideline sentence is a guideline but your actual sentence can be below or above that guideline as long as it's within that authority that the Congress has given that was read by Mr. Eggert. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Secondly, you're in federal court and in federal court we don't recognize parole. So while in some state courts you might hear of people getting a long sentence but only serving a fraction of it, that's not how it is here in federal court. When you receive a sentence, you should expect to serve it all. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: There's a few small exceptions to that but generally you should expect to serve it all. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

2.4

THE COURT: Today I'm going to be taking your plea, if I find the circumstances appropriate, but your sentencing won't be for some time. Do you understand that if on the day down the road when you learn your sentence you're disappointed with it, that that's not going to be an excuse for you to come back and try to reverse the plea that you entered today? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: In federal court we do have something called supervised release. That's a period of time after you've completed whatever period of incarceration you may receive in which you have to follow rules and perhaps submit to testing and other conditions that I'll establish on the day of your sentencing. If you don't follow those conditions and rules, you can actually receive even additional prison time and have to go back to prison. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The periods of time were read by Mr. Eggert later as to how long your supervised release can go. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Go for life, can't it, on that one 1 2 count? 3 MR. EGGERT: Yes. Yes. 4 THE COURT: All right. Now let's talk about your 5 right to jury trial. All right? You have a right to maintain 6 your not guilty plea and to go to jury trial. If you go to 7 jury trial, there will be 12 jurors that are selected from our 8 community and all 12 of them have to believe you're guilty beyond a reasonable doubt or you will not be quilty in the 9 10 eyes of the law. Do you understand that? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: The government has the burden of proof 13 to convince those jurors; you don't have that burden. 14 understand that? 15 Yes, sir. THE DEFENDANT: 16 THE COURT: At trial if you can't afford a lawyer, 17 one would be provided for you, and your lawyer can confront, 18 impeach, cross-examine every single piece of evidence and 19 every witness that the government calls against you. Do you 20 understand that? 2.1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2.2. THE COURT: In addition, he can call witnesses to 2.3 testify on your behalf. If there's a witness who's reluctant 24 to testify, I can use the power of my court to compel them to 25 come to court and testify. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.2.

2.3

THE COURT: At trial you would have the right to be called as a witness to testify on your own behalf, but if you wish to remain silent, that also would be your right and no one could force you to get on the stand and testify. I would even instruct the jury that they should not reach any type of adverse inference just because you took advantage of your constitutional right. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: At jury trial if I made a mistake in a ruling of law or evidence, you could appeal me to a higher court, to the Eighth Circuit, and try to get that Court to reverse my rulings. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, this jury trial that I've been describing, the purpose of that trial is to determine whether you're guilty or not. If you're guilty, you lose the presumption of innocence. All right? If you admit you're guilty to me today and plead guilty, there's no need for that trial and you'll not be taking advantage of all those rights associated with jury trial that I just discussed with you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: In the eyes of the law if you plead guilty you are guilty so there's no reason to have a jury

trial to determine it and instead we'll go on to the sentencing phase and procedures that our court uses. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.2.

2.3

believe there is evidence that the government could present to a jury that a jury if they believe that evidence would find would be sufficient to find you guilty. All right? So as part of this proceeding to accept your guilty plea, we have to talk about what evidence the government thinks it could prove if it went to trial. This evidence is important because it provides the basis for me to accept your plea but the specifics on what you admit can sometimes also impact those sentencing guidelines I told you about earlier because they could impact the offense level that is assigned to you for your conduct under those guidelines.

So, Mr. Eggert, you have provided me with a written copy of what you think the government's evidence would be.

Has that also been provided to Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir, it has.

THE COURT: All right. Do you wish to read that into the record or is it in such a condition that the defendant could merely sign it and submit it as an exhibit?

MR. EGGERT: For purposes of this record, if I could read it into the record, Your Honor, I would — if I could.

THE COURT: That's fine with me. I do think -- it's fairly lengthy, so I think it would be appropriate if Mr. Johnson and his client could follow along in writing as you read it.

MR. EGGERT: Yes.

2.2.

2.3

2.4

THE COURT: Very important, Mr. House, you listen carefully what's going to be read in the record.

MR. EGGERT: Before I start, Your Honor, I would also point out that as part of the plea agreement here today — the plea hearing here today the defendant is also admitting and acknowledging the forfeiture of money — Forfeiture Allegations 1 and 2 of the second superseding indictment, and I'll be getting into that with the factual basis that I'm about to read into the record.

THE COURT: All right. Before you proceed, then -- I guess I forgot that.

You understand that in the second superseding indictment there is what they call forfeiture allegations and that means allegations that people should lose property as a result of their involvement in this crime and either they used the property in the crime or they have used the proceeds of the crime to obtain the property? Forfeiture allegation does mention you. It refers to \$4,000 in currency. And then Forfeiture Allegation 2 refers to you and it refers to \$13,850 in currency.

As part of your plea do you understand that if I accept your plea, you will be acknowledging that you have no interest in that cash and that any interest you ever had in that cash you would be forfeiting and losing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.2.

2.3

THE COURT: All right. Now proceed.

MR. EGGERT: Thank you, Your Honor.

Between June 1st of 2013 through November 29th of 2014, said dates being approximate, in Greene, Polk, Christian, Jasper, Laclede, and Webster Counties in the Western District of Missouri and elsewhere, the defendant, Brandon A. House, knowingly and intentionally conspired and agreed with others to distribute 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectible amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846 and 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A). This is Count 1 of the indictment, of the second superseding indictment.

Also, on July 8th of 2014 in Christian County, in the Western District of Missouri and elsewhere, the defendant, Brandon A. House, knowingly and intentionally possessed with intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectible amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C). This is Count 25 of the

second superseding indictment.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

2.4

Beginning in 2012 the United States Drug Enforcement Administration, hereinafter DEA, assisted by the United States Internal Revenue Service, herein IRS, the Missouri State Highway Patrol, hereinafter MSHP, the Springfield Missouri Police Department, hereinafter SPD, and other affiliated law enforcement agencies investigated a large scale methamphetamine distribution network in southwest Missouri involving several sources of supply both inside and outside of the state of Missouri.

Leaders of this distribution network in southwest Missouri were identified as Daniel and Kenna Harmon. The Harmon drug trafficking organization, hereinafter Harmon DTO, obtained pound amounts of methamphetamine from sources of supply in Kansas City, St. Louis, as well as from the state of Oklahoma for distribution in the Springfield, Missouri, area. The Harmon DTO distributed in excess of 45 kilograms of methamphetamine from June 1st, 2013, to November 29th, 2014. One of the persons that obtained methamphetamine from the Harmon DTO for redistribution was the defendant, Brandon House.

On December 12th of 2013, SPD executed a state search warrant at a residence of a female in Springfield, Missouri. Inside the residence were Jeffrey Gardner, the defendant, House and a female. A search of the residence

revealed a baggie of methamphetamine and \$4,000 in United

States currency which was seized by SPD officers. The money
was drug proceeds derived from the conspiracy as charged in —
the conspiracy to distribute methamphetamine as charged in

Count 1 of the second superseding indictment.

2.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

On January 27th of 2014, House, along with the female, was vehicle stopped in the area of Bennett Street and Kansas Expressway in Springfield, Missouri. The female was driving the vehicle and House was the front seat passenger. The vehicle had just left the house in Springfield, Missouri, where methamphetamine was suspected of being sold. After the stop, officers discovered \$13,850 inside the vehicle. This money was drug proceeds derived from the conspiracy to distribute methamphetamine as charged in Count 1 of the second superseding indictment.

I should mention, the first amount of money that was seized, the \$4,000, refers to Forfeiture Allegation 1 and the second amount, \$13,850, refers to Forfeiture Allegation 2.

On July 8th, 2014, members of the COMET Drug Task

Force conducted a check of a suspicious person inside a

vehicle parked in a residential driveway in the area of Old

Limey Road in Nixa, Christian County, Missouri. An officer

with the Christian County Sheriff's Department arrived at the

location and observed a male passed out inside the vehicle.

The male was identified as the defendant, House. The

Christian County Deputy Sheriff discovered 33.66 grams of methamphetamine, .77 grams of cocaine, \$840 in United States currency, pills, blotting paper which appeared to be LSD, and glass smoking pipes.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

2.4

2.5

Beginning in September of 2014 and continuing until November 27th, 2014, a series of T-III wiretaps were placed on four different telephones used by Kenna Harmon. During the wiretap monitoring, House contacted Harmon on numerous occasions to arrange for the purchase of methamphetamine.

On October 11th of 2014 at approximately 10:53 in the evening, House contacted Harmon via the telephone to schedule a meeting. Over the course of several telephone calls, House and Harmon agreed to meet in a neighborhood in the vicinity of Sunshine Street and Campbell Avenue which would be located in Springfield, Missouri. Harmon stated that she would have his stuff in a department store bag and that House would provide her with a little package in exchange.

DEA agents conducting surveillance observed Harmon travel in a 2004 blue BMW sedan to the location of Sunshine Street and Campbell Avenue where Harmon parked her car. A Cadillac Escalade then entered the lot and a male got out of the vehicle and entered Harmon's BMW. This male, by the way, would have been Mr. House. The BMW left the parking lot, traveled eastbound on Sunshine Street to Glenstone Avenue, made a U-turn and then returned to the parking lot where the

male got out of the BMW and got back into the Escalade. Both vehicles then left the parking lot.

2.2.

2.3

2.5

On October 12th, 2014, Harmon and House had additional telephone contacts which were captured by the T-III wiretap. At 5:55 in the evening, House contacted Harmon by telephone and asked where they should meet. House told Harmon that he was at the Mexican restaurant next to the Midnight Rodeo. Agents then set up surveillance in the area of Glenstone Avenue and Sunshine Street where the Midnight Rodeo was located.

Agents once again observed the Escalade and Harmon's BMW at the El Charro Mexican restaurant located in the area. About 30 minutes after the agent started the surveillance they observed House and an unknown female leaving the restaurant. Harmon next exited the restaurant with her son. House, Harmon and Harmon's son then entered Harmon's BMW. Harmon entered on the front seat side of the BMW and House entered in the front passenger side of the vehicle. The female entered the Escalade. Harmon then exited her vehicle and opened the trunk of the BMW. Harmon then removed a plastic department store bag from the trunk of the vehicle. Harmon then reentered the BMW with the bag.

At this point the BMW driven by Harmon with House as a passenger and the Escalade driven by the unknown female traveled to the parking lot of the Fazoli's restaurant located

in the vicinity of North Glenstone Avenue. At this location House exited the BMW, entered the Escalade and the officers followed the Escalade to House's residence on East Central Street. Surveillance followed Harmon to one of her residences located on North Travis.

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2.

2.3

2.4

2.5

Also on October 12th, 2014, after these meetings Harmon and House exchanged telephone calls which were monitored on the T-III. At 7:39 in the evening Harmon placed an outgoing communication to House. House did not answer the phone so Harmon left a message asking House if he grabbed her blue mesh bag. At 7:41 Harmon then contacted House again by telephone which was monitored by the T-III. Harmon told House that all she had was in that bag. House stated he knows and asked Harmon what she wanted him to do. Harmon told him that when he got ready to leave to let her know and she would meet him somewhere. Harmon stated that, I'll grab it wherever you are and just so you don't have to travel with it. There would be an amount in there that is inaudible according to the T-III getting caught with. House stated that he thought he was supposed to take the whole bag. Harmon said, No, I told you something was inside that blue bag. Harmon told House --Harmon asked House if House was by the car wash. House said yes. Another male in the background told House to tell Harmon not to come over there. House then told Harmon that he would call her right back. Agents conducting surveillance observed

Harmon's BMW travel to House's residence on East Central Street after this telephone call.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

2.4

2.5

On October 18th of 2014, House and Harmon conducted another series of telephone calls. During these telephone calls Harmon discussed traveling to Joplin, Missouri, in order to switch out 8 pounds of bad methamphetamine that customers had complained about and replacing it with good methamphetamine. Surveillance on that day observed Harmon driving her BMW and House driving a gold Camry travel to Joplin and later appeared to meet with an unknown Hispanic male at the North Town Mall at Joplin.

At 8:35 in the evening on October 18, House and Harmon had a telephone conversation during which Harmon told House about trying to meet Harmon's source. The two discussed having different phones to reach each other. Harmon expressed her frustration about how the meeting was going. Harmon got tired of waiting for her source to finalize a place to meet. Harmon felt that she was getting the run—around. Harmon's source felt that they were being followed. Harmon suggested that she might lose \$100,000 in the deal. Harmon stated that she was going to attempt to wash the suspected methamphetamine in order to make it good.

On November the 15th of 2014, House and Harmon conducted another series of telephone conversations monitored by the T-III wiretap. At 1:00 in the morning, 1 a.m., Harmon

contacted House and asked him if he was coming. House replied, Not tonight. Harmon needed to know because she did not know when she needed to carry his "shit." Harmon told House that she had "two" for him and that he should not expect "five." House stated that he got scared and did not want to come. Harmon told House not to worry and she would see him tomorrow.

2.2.

2.3

2.4

2.5

I would say all these transactions that we referred to, November 15th, October 18th, October 12th, and October 11th all involved the distribution of methamphetamine by Ms. Harmon to Mr. House or Mr. House's assistants in obtaining methamphetamine in the conspiracy with Ms. Harmon.

On November 27th and November 28th of 2014, DEA agents arrested Harmon and in searches of various residences and vehicles belonging to Harmon or other drug associates connected to the Harmon DTO, officers seized approximately 5 kilograms of methamphetamine and approximately \$128,674 in United States currency derived from the sale of methamphetamine.

The defendant, House, has a prior drug conviction for possession of controlled substance in the Circuit Court of Greene County, Missouri, with a Case No. 1231-CR02683-01 with a date of conviction of on or about June 8, 2012. The effect of the prior conviction relating to the controlled substance is that House is subject to a mandatory minimum sentence of 20

```
years imprisonment on Count 1 and other punishment as set
 1
 2
     forth in 21 United States Code, Section 841(a)(1) and
     (b)(1)(A). And he's also subject to a statutory maximum
 3
 4
     sentence of 30 years for Count 25 and other punishment as set
 5
     forth in 21 United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C).
               Thank you, Your Honor, for allowing me to read that.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Mr. House, were you able to hear
8
    Mr. Eggert?
9
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes, sir.
10
               THE COURT: Were you also reading along with what he
11
    was reading from?
12
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes, sir.
13
                           Is there anything that was read by
               THE COURT:
14
    Mr. Eggert that you think is inaccurate?
15
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No, sir.
16
                           The statement read described certain
               THE COURT:
17
     conduct by you. Are the things that he read concerning your
18
     conduct, does that accurately describe your conduct?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20
               THE COURT: Any further record under Rule 11 which
21
     the government wants me to make?
2.2.
               MR. EGGERT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
2.3
               THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, any further record you want
2.4
    me to make?
25
               MR. JOHNSON: No, sir.
```

1	THE COURT: All right. Mr. House, I have completed
2	the areas of inquiry that I need to complete. We reminded you
3	
	of some of the consequences of a guilty plea and of your
4	rights that you're giving up or that you're not taking
5	advantage of, I should say, by changing your plea. Do you
6	still wish to change your plea to Count 1 of the second
7	superseding indictment?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: And you still think it's in your best
10	legal interest?
11	THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.
12	THE COURT: And how do you wish to plea now to Count
13	1 of the second superseding indictment?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, sir.
15	THE COURT: And are you entering that plea because
16	you are indeed guilty of the crime alleged in that count?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: How do you wish now to plead to Count 25
19	of the second superseding indictment?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, sir.
21	THE COURT: Is that because you are indeed guilty of
22	the crime alleged in Count 25 of the second superseding
23	indictment?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: And do you agree to Forfeiture

Allegations 1 and 2 as described in the second superseding indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

2.4

2.5

The Court finds that the defendant is THE COURT: competent to enter a change of plea. The Court finds that the defendant has entered the change in his pleas in an understandingly, knowingly and voluntary manner. The Court finds that the guilty pleas have been entered after the defendant has received full, competent and capable services and advice of legal counsel and after the defendant has been fully advised of the consequences of entering the quilty pleas. The Court finds that there is a factual basis for the quilty pleas to Counts 1 and 25. The Court therefore accepts the defendant's pleas of quilty to Count 1 and 25 of the superseding indictment. The Court accepts the defendant's admission to the Forfeiture Allegations 1 and 2 of the second superseding indictment. The Court, based on the plea, finds the defendant quilty of the crime as alleged in Counts 1 and 25 of the second superseding indictment.

I am going to order a presentence investigation report to be prepared.

What happens now is the report is written. You'll get an opportunity to have input into that report if you want to. That's between you and your lawyer. In any regard, when the report's complete, you'll get a copy of it and it will

tell us a lot about your involvement in this particular crime — these crimes but also your criminal history but it will also tell us about your educational background, your family background, your health, your employment background, a lot of things about what's been going on in your life. When that report is done — and one of the important parts of that report, by the way, it will include a calculation of the sentencing guidelines.

2.2.

2.3

2.4

2.5

When that's done, you and your lawyer will get a copy of it and you'll have an opportunity to review it. If you think there are errors in it, you and your lawyer can contact the probation office and try to work them out. If they can't be worked out, then you can file formal objections, especially if you think the sentence calculation is — guideline calculation is incorrect. And on the day of your sentencing, then I'll rule on those objections.

At your sentencing the first thing we'll do is remind you of what the authorized sentence is by the Congress. As I've told you more than once and I'll repeat again, I have to sentence you in a manner that's been authorized by the law enacted by the Congress. Then we'll calculate your sentencing guidelines, ruling on those objections I told you about. That requires me, again, to get a criminal history category for you and an offense level for you. Then we'll listen to arguments by the two lawyers on the factors that are described in the

law at a place called Title 18, Section 3553(a). That's a lot of factors that the Court is to consider in sentencing you. And in it I will determine whether or not the sentencing guideline is appropriate for you or whether I should depart or vary from that guideline in your sentence. A variance or departure can sometimes be above the guideline, it's often below the guideline if I find that a departure or variance is appropriate in your case.

2.2.

2.3

2.4

When that's done, at your sentencing hearing I'll give you a chance to say something to me. You don't have to say anything, but if you want to say something, I will afford you that opportunity. And if you think you're going to take advantage of that opportunity, please discuss it with your lawyer about what you plan to say before the sentencing hearing. You can get the benefit of his advice as how best to phrase things and what things may sometimes be better left unsaid. Again, you don't have to say anything.

At the end of your sentencing hearing, I will tell you what your sentence is. I'll announce your sentence at the end of that sentencing hearing. But I won't make any final decision until we go through that hearing process and you're afforded an opportunity to make a statement, if you want to.

Do you understand how we're going to handle this now?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1	THE COURT: Between now and your sentencing you'll
2	be in the custody of the marshals and they will house you at a
3	local facility. I don't know how long it will be before we
4	can get your sentencing hearing scheduled. It depends on a
5	lot of things. But whenever we do get it scheduled and once
6	you get your sentence and I announce your sentence, then
7	obviously you would be transferred to the Bureau of Prisons
8	for the completion of any prison time you would be ordered to
9	serve. You understand?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: Anything further from the government we
12	need to cover?
13	MR. EGGERT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, anything further defendant
15	thinks we need to cover?
16	MR. JOHNSON: No, sir, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right. We'll see you back at your
18	sentencing hearing, then.
19	We'll be in recess.
20	(Court stands in recess at 2:42 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I, Jeannine M. Rankin, Federal Official Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, Southern Division, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings.

Date: 08/23/1

/s/ Jeannine M. Rankin

08/23/17 Jeannine M. Rankin, CCR, CSR, RPR